

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/726,411	Applicant(s) TEPE, JETZE J.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton.

(2) John S. Roberts {Reg. No. 36,655}.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2008

Time: 11:20am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Thursday, December 4, 2008 @11:20am to get permission to: (1) correct the listing of claims by deleting "A method...of the formula:", which appears after "31-90 (Canceled)" at the top of page 3 of the Amendment of October 30, 2008; and (2) delete references to applications 11/099,885 and 11/435,169 from paragraph [0001] on page 1 of the instant specification. Mr. Roberts indicated that he would have to get back to the Examiner. In a voice mail message @11:51am of same day, Mr. Roberts left a voice mail message giving the Examiner permission to make the discussed changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

